

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>		<b>Application No.</b> 10/510,875	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ARMSTRONG ET AL	
		<b>Examiner</b> JULIE HA	<b>Art Unit</b> 1654	

**All Participants:**

(1) JULIE HA.

(2) DANIEL E. ALTMAN.

**Date of Interview:** 24 November 2009

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

**Claims discussed:**  
 19-23

**Prior art documents discussed:**

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner telephoned Mr. Altman to request his authorization to cancel claims 19-23. The Examiner indicated that these claims are drawn to nonelected invention. Furthermore, these claims are drawn to method claims that may raise new 112 issues. Mr. Altman authorized cancellation of claims 19-23 through Examiner's Amendment. Examiner's Amendment follows.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Julie Ha/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1654

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)